(1)

C.F. 100/3.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR(C.G.)

WRIT PETITION NO.2976/2005

PETITIONER : Chumman Lal Rajput, S/o. Shobharam Rajput, Aged about 29 years, R/o. Chandli, Post Badra Thakur, Tahsil Mungeli, District Bilaspur (C.G.).

P. 3124/05 Presented 6/7/05 Scverma

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1) State of Chhattisgarh, Through the Secretary Panchayat and Social Welfare, D.K.S. Bhawan Raipur (C.G.)
2) The Collector, Bilaspur (C.G.)
3) The C.E.O. Pathariya., District-Bilaspur, C.G.

RECEIVED-COPY 6-7-05 CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 & 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

DETAILS OF THE PETITION

PETITIONER :

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(24)

मामला क्रमांक ..... W.P.No.2976/2005 **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
1	**13.07.2005** Mr. S.C. Verma, learned counsel for the petitioner. Mr. Akhil Agrawal, learned Panel Lawyer for the State of Chhattisgarh. Heard. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner was appointed as Panchayat Karmi on 09.11.1995 on the payment of Rs.500/- per month. He has been working ever since regularly on the said post on the same payment without any increase in the salary. Learned counsel for the petitioner has pointed out the letter dated 23.06.2004 written by Joint Director, Panchayat and Social Welfare Department, Bilaspur to all the Chief Executive Officers in the District of Bilaspur stating the problems faced by the Panchayat Karmis. Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks liberty of this Court to file a detailed representation before the respondents for redressal of the grievances of the petitioner. Learned counsel further prays for a direction of this Court. The respondents are directed to consider and decide the representation, if any, made by the petitioner, within a period of 45 days from the date of receipt of the representation filed by the petitioner. The petitioner is at liberty to take recourse to legal remedy, if grievance of the petitioner survives, after decision of the concerned authorities on his representation. In view of the foregoing, the petition stands disposed of. **Sd/-** **Satish K. Agnihotri** **Judge**	